arXiv:1512.07274v1 [math.PR] 22 Dec 2015

Rough path continuity equations with discontinuous coefficients - regularization by fractional Brownian motion

Torstein Nilssen *

Abstract

We consider the stochastic continuity equation perturbed by a fractional Brownian motion and the drift is allowed to be discontinuous. We show that for almost all paths of the fractional Brownian motion there exists a solution to the equation.

1 Introduction

We study the stochastic continuity equation

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \operatorname{div}(b\mu_t) + \operatorname{div}(\mu_t \dot{B}_t^H) = 0, \qquad \mu|_{t=0} = \mu_0$$

where μ_0 is a given measure, $\dot{B}_t^H$ is fractional noise. We allow for low regularity on the drift, i.e. we assume $b \in L^\infty([0,T]; L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

We are looking for a spatially measure-valued solution, meaning that for a test function $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we should have

$$\partial_t \mu_t(\eta) = \mu_t(\langle b(t,\cdot), \nabla\eta\rangle) + \langle \mu_t(\nabla\eta), \dot{B}_t^{H,j}\rangle$$

where $\mu_t(\eta) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta(x) d\mu_t(x)$, $\mu_t(\nabla\eta) = (\mu_t(\partial_{x_1}\eta), \dots, \mu_t(\partial_{x_d}\eta))$ and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the inner product on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We will choose the noise to be a fractional Brownian motion with $H < 1/2$. The noise is not differentiable so one should integrate the equation in time and recast the last term as $\int_0^t \langle \mu_s(\nabla\eta), dB_s^H\rangle$. But even at this level it is not a priori clear how to make sense of this term. In fact, since B_t^H is not a semi-martingale there is no Itô theory to define this integral. Since B_t^H is Hölder continuous of order strictly smaller than $H < 1/2$ the integration theory of Young is also out of reach.

Instead we shall interpret the integral in the rough path setting, meaning we shall use iterated integrals of B_t^H and the theory of controlled paths to define the integral.

We will show that for every inital condition μ_0 and P-a.e. path of the fractional Brownian motion there exists a solution to the continuity equation.

*Department of Mathematics, University of Oslo, Moltke Moes vei 35, P.O. Box 1053 Blindern, 0316 Oslo, Norway. E-mail: torsteka@math.uio.no. Funded by Norwegian Research Council (Project 230448/F20).

More specifically, we will show that the solution is on the form $\mu_t = (\phi_t)_\sharp \mu_0$, i.e. the push forward of μ_0 by the flow map

$$\phi_t(x) = x + \int_0^t b(r, \phi_r(x))dr + B_t^H. \tag{1}$$

To see this, heuristically, take $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and suppose we have some kind of Itô-Stratonovich-formula for the fractional Brownain motion in the rough path setting. We should have

$$\eta(\phi_t(x)) = \eta(x) + \int_0^t \langle \nabla \eta(\phi_r(x)), b(r, \phi_r(x)) \rangle dr + \int_0^t \langle \nabla \eta(\phi_r(x)), dB_r^H \rangle.$$

Integrating w.r.t. μ_0 produces the desired formula.

This means that if we can prove existence of a solution to (1) and an Itô-formula compatible with the rough path setting, we get a solution to the continuity equation.

Very recently, the authors in [1] show existence of a unique solution to (1). The result will be included in Section 4.

1.1 Notation

For Banach spaces V, W we denote $\mathcal{L}(V; W)$ the set of all continous linear mappings from V to W. For simplicity we denote $\mathcal{L}(V) := \mathcal{L}(V; \mathbb{R})$. If the spaces V and W are finite dimensional, and we can identify $\mathcal{L}(V \otimes W)$ with $\mathcal{L}(V; \mathcal{L}(W))$. In particular, for a sufficiently smooth function $f : V \to W$ the k'th derivative is considered as a map $D^k f : V \to \mathcal{L}(V^{\otimes k}; W)$.

For the entire paper we fix a $T > 0$ and define the simplex $\Delta^{(p)}(s,t) := \{(r_1, \dots, r_p) \in [0,T]^p : s < r_1 < \cdots < r_d < t\}$. For $\gamma > 0$ denote by $C_2^\gamma([0,T]; V)$ the space of all functions $f : \Delta^{(2)}(0,T) \to V$ such that $\|f\|_\gamma := \sup_{s<t} \frac{|f(s,t)|}{|t-s|^\gamma} < \infty$. Given a function $X : [0,T] \to V$ its increment is denoted $X_{st} := X_t - X_s$.

For an integer p the p-step truncated tensor algebra

$$T^{(p)}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{p} (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes n}$$

is equipped with the product $(\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b})^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^n a^{(n-k)} \otimes b^{(k)}$.

We recall the following Taylor formula for a function $f : V \to W$ that is $m+1$ times differentiable

$$f(x) - f(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{D^k f(y)}{k!}(x-y)^{\otimes k} + R_m^f(x,y) \tag{2}$$

where $|R_m^f(x,y)| \lesssim |x-y|^{m+1}$. More specifically, we shall use the explicit formula

$$R_m^f(x,y) = \frac{1}{m!} \int_0^1 D^{m+1} f(y + u(x-y))(1-u)^m du (x-y)^{\otimes (m+1)}. \tag{3}$$

2 Elements of Controlled Rough Paths

The theory of rough paths was first introduced by Terry Lyons in the late 90's, see [9]. His insight was that even though solutions to ODE's driven by rough signals are typically not continuous as a function of the signals themselves, adding extra information, namely the iterated integrals of the driving signals, one obtains a topology for which there is continuity of the solutions. The theory was further devolped by Gubinelli, [6] and [7], who introduced the notion of controlled paths which defines spaces that are well suited for constructing solutions of the rough ODE's.

In the present paper we shall use controlled paths as one of our main tools. See [5] for an introduction.

Throughout this section we fix some $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and let p be the integer part of $\frac{1}{\gamma}$. A γ-rough path is a mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} : \Delta^{(2)}(0,T) &\to T^{(p)}(\mathbb{R}^d) \\ (s,t) &\mapsto (1, X_{st}^{(1)}, \dots, X_{st}^{(p)}) \end{aligned}$$

that satisfies an algebraic (Chen's) relation

$$\mathbf{X}_{st} = \mathbf{X}_{su} \otimes \mathbf{X}_{ut}, \tag{4}$$

and an analytic relation

$$|X_{st}^{(n)}| \lesssim |t-s|^{n\gamma} \qquad n = 1, \dots, p. \tag{5}$$

We denote by $\mathscr{C}^\gamma$ the set of all rough paths equipped with the metric

$$\varrho_\gamma(\mathbf{X}, \tilde{\mathbf{X}}) := \sum_{n=1}^{p} \sup_{t \neq s} \frac{|X_{st}^{(n)} - \tilde{X}_{st}^{(n)}|}{|t-s|^{n\gamma}}.$$

Given a function $X \in C^1([0,T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ we can consider its canonical lift to a rough path

$$\mathbf{X}_{st} := (1, X_{st}, \int_s^t X_{sr} \otimes \dot{X}_r dr, \dots, \int_{\Delta^{(p)}(s,t)} \dot{X}_{r_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \dot{X}_{r_p} dr_1 \dots dr_p). \tag{6}$$

We will denote by $\mathscr{C}_g^\gamma$ the closure of the canonical lift of $C^1([0,T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ in the rough path topology [1] . An element $\mathbf{X} \in \mathscr{C}_g^\gamma$ will be referred to as a geometric rough path and it satisfies the identity

$$\mathrm{sym}(X_{st}^{(n)}) = \frac{1}{n!} \left(X_{st}^{(1)} \right)^{\otimes n}. \tag{7}$$

Given a rough path $\mathbf{X} \in \mathscr{C}^\gamma$, we shall say that a mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y} : [0,T] &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=1}^{p} \mathcal{L}((\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes n}) \\ t &\longmapsto (Y_t^{(1)}, \dots Y_t^{(p)}) \end{aligned}$$

[1] sometimes written $\mathscr{C}_g^{0,\gamma}$ in the litterature, whereas $\mathscr{C}_g^\gamma$ is reserved for paths satisfying (7). While $\mathscr{C}_g^{0,\gamma}$ is strictly included in $\mathscr{C}_g^\gamma$ one can use "geodesic approximations" and interpolation to show $\mathscr{C}_g^{\gamma'} \subset \mathscr{C}_g^{0,\gamma} \subset \mathscr{C}_g^\gamma$ for $\gamma' < \gamma$, so that one can still approximate elements satisfying (7) at the expense of choosing a smaller γ.

is a controlled (by $\mathbf{X}$) path if the implicitly defined functions

$$Y_{st}^{(k)\sharp} := Y_t^{(k)} - \sum_{n=k}^{p} Y_s^{(n)} X_{st}^{(n-k)} \quad k = 1, \dots, p.$$

is such that $Y^{(k)\sharp} \in C_2^{(p+1-k)\gamma}([0,T]; \mathcal{L}((\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes k})$, i.e.

$$|Y_{st}^{(k)\sharp}| \lesssim |t-s|^{(p+1-k)\gamma}. \tag{8}$$

We denote by $\mathscr{D}_{\mathbf{X}}^{p\gamma}$ the set of all paths controlled by $\mathbf{X}$, and we equip this linear space with the semi-norm

$$\|\mathbf{Y}\|_{\mathbf{X}} = \sum_{k=1}^{p} \|Y^{(k)\sharp}\|_{(p+1-k)\gamma}.$$

Conditioned on $(Y_0^{(1)}, \dots, Y_0^{(p)})$ we get a norm which controls the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$-norm of $\mathbf{Y}$ in the following way. We have $Y_t^{(k)} = Y_{0t}^{(k)\sharp} + \sum_{n=k}^{p} Y_0^{(n)} X_{0t}^{(n-k)}$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}\|Y^{(k)}\|_\infty &\le T^{(p+1-k)\gamma} \|Y^{(k)\sharp}\|_{(p+1-k)\gamma} + \sum_{n=k}^{p} |Y_0^{(n)}| \|X\|^{(n-k)\gamma} T^{(n-k)\gamma} \\ &\lesssim \|\mathbf{Y}\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \varrho_\gamma(0, \mathbf{X}) |\mathbf{Y}_0|.\end{aligned}$$

If we consider two paths $\mathbf{Y}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$, controlled by $\mathbf{X}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ respectively, we introduce the "distance"

$$\|\mathbf{Y}; \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}\|_{\mathbf{X}, \tilde{\mathbf{X}}} := \sum_{k=1}^{p} \|Y^{(k)\sharp} - \tilde{Y}^{(k)\sharp}\|_{(p+1-k)\gamma}.$$

Similar as above we can find the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{n=1,\dots,p} \|Y^{(n)} - \tilde{Y}^{(n)}\|_\infty \le \|\mathbf{Y}; \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}\|_{\mathbf{X}, \tilde{\mathbf{X}}} + \varrho_\gamma(\mathbf{X}, 0) |\mathbf{Y}_0 - \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_0| \\ + \varrho_\gamma(\mathbf{X}, \tilde{\mathbf{X}}) |\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_0|\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

We define the total space

$$\mathscr{C}^\gamma \ltimes \mathscr{D}^{p\gamma} := \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathscr{C}^\gamma} \{\mathbf{X}\} \times \mathscr{D}_{\mathbf{X}}^{p\gamma}$$

equipped with its natural topology, i.e. the weakest topology such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{C}^\gamma \ltimes \mathscr{D}^{p\gamma} &\longrightarrow \mathscr{C}^\gamma \times \bigoplus_{k=1}^{p} C_2^{(p+1-k)\gamma}([0,T]; \mathcal{L}((\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes k})) \\ (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) &\longmapsto \left(\mathbf{X}, \oplus_{k=1}^{p} Y^{(k)\sharp}\right)\end{aligned}$$

is continuous.

2.1 Integration of Controlled Rough Paths

Following [5] we denote by $C_2^{\alpha,\beta}([0,T])$ the space of functions $\Xi : \Delta^{(2)}(0,T) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|\Xi\|_\alpha := \sup_{s<t} \frac{|\Xi_{st}|}{|t-s|^\alpha} < \infty \text{ and } \|\delta\Xi\|_\beta := \sup_{s<u<t} \frac{|\delta\Xi_{sut}|}{|t-s|^\beta} < \infty$$

where $\delta\Xi_{sut} := \Xi_{st} - \Xi_{su} - \Xi_{ut}$. We equip the space with the norm $\|\Xi\|_{\alpha,\beta} := \|\Xi\|_\alpha + \|\delta\Xi\|_\beta$. The following result is sometimes referred to as the "sewing lemma":

Lemma 2.1. *Assume $0 < \alpha \leq 1 < \beta$. Then there exists a unique continuous linear map*

$$\mathcal{I} : C_2^{\alpha,\beta}([0,T]) \to C^\alpha([0,T])$$

such that $(\mathcal{I}\Xi)_0 = 0$ and

$$|(\mathcal{I}\Xi)_{st} - \Xi_{st}| \lesssim |t-s|^\beta.$$

More specifically,

$$\mathcal{I}(\Xi)_{st} = \lim_{|\mathcal{P}|\to 0} \sum_{[u,v]\in\mathcal{P}} \Xi_{uv} \tag{10}$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ denotes a partition of $[s,t]$ and $|\mathcal{P}|$ the its mesh. The limit can be taken along any sequence of partitions and is independent of this choice.

For a proof, see [5]. It is clear from (10) that $C_2^\theta([0,T]) \subset ker(\mathcal{I})$ for $\theta > 1$.

We are ready to define the integral of a controlled rough path. For $\mathbf{X} \in \mathscr{C}^\gamma$ and $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathscr{D}_{\mathbf{X}}^{p\gamma}$ let

$$\Xi_{st} := \sum_{n=1}^{p} Y_s^{(n)} X_{st}^{(n)}.$$

Chen's relation (4) gives $X_{st}^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} X_{su}^{(n-k)} \otimes X_{ut}^{(k)}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\Xi_{sut} &= \sum_{n=1}^{p} Y_s^{(n)}(X_{st}^{(n)} - X_{su}^{(n)}) - \sum_{n=1}^{p} Y_u^{(n)} X_{ut}^{(n)} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{p} Y_s^{(n)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{su}^{(n-k)} \otimes X_{ut}^{(k)} - \sum_{n=1}^{p} Y_u^{(n)} X_{ut}^{(n)} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{p} \sum_{n=k}^{n} Y_s^{(n)} X_{su}^{(n-k)} \otimes X_{ut}^{(k)} - \sum_{k=1}^{p} Y_u^{(k)} X_{ut}^{(k)} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{p} \left(\sum_{n=k}^{n} Y_s^{(n)} X_{su}^{(n-k)} - Y_u^{(k)} \right) X_{ut}^{(k)} \\
&= -\sum_{k=1}^{p} Y_{su}^{(k)\sharp} X_{ut}^{(k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (5) and (8) each term can be bounded by $C|t-s|^{(p+1)\gamma}$ for an appropriate constant C. Consequently $|\delta\Xi_{sut}| \lesssim |t-s|^{(p+1)\gamma}$. Since $(p+1)\gamma > 1$ we arrive at the following definition:

Definition 2.2. *Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathscr{C}^\gamma$ and let $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathscr{D}_{\mathbf{X}}^{p\gamma}$. We define the rough path integral of $\mathbf{Y}$ w.r.t. $\mathbf{X}$ as*

$$\int_s^t \mathbf{Y}_r d\mathbf{X}_r := (\mathcal{I}\Xi)_{st} \tag{11}$$

with $\mathcal{I}$ and Ξ as above.

Remark 2.3. *For a smooth path X with its geometric lift (6) the rough path integral and the usual calculus coincide, i.e.*

$$\int_s^t Y_r d\mathbf{X}_r = \int_s^t Y_r \dot{X}_r dr,$$

for all $Y \in C^\gamma([0,T]; \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Indeed, we may define $Y^{(n)} = 0$ for $n = 2, \dots p$. Even though in general (8) is not satisfied for $k = 1$, if we define

$$\Xi_{st} := Y_s X_{st}$$

we get $\delta\Xi_{sut} = -Y_{su}X_{ut}$ so that $\Xi \in C_2^{1,1+\gamma}([0,T])$.

The rest of this section is devoted to obtaining a "local Lipschitz"-type estimate when we regard the above as a mapping

$$\mathscr{C}^\gamma \ltimes \mathscr{D}^{p\gamma} \to C_2^{\gamma,(p+1)\gamma}([0,T]).$$

Indeed, let $\mathbf{X}, \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \in \mathscr{C}^\gamma$ and let $\mathbf{Y}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$ be controlled by $\mathbf{X}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ respectively. Define Ξ as before and

$$\tilde{\Xi}_{st} := \sum_{n=1}^p \tilde{Y}_s^{(n)} \tilde{X}_{st}^{(n)}.$$

Lemma 2.4. *Assume $\varrho_\gamma(0, \mathbf{X}), \|\mathbf{Y}\|_{\mathbf{X}}, |\mathbf{Y}_0| \le M$ for some constant M, and similarly for $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$. Then there exists a constant C_M such that*

$$\|\Xi - \tilde{\Xi}\|_{\gamma,(p+1)\gamma} \le C_M(|\mathbf{Y}_0 - \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_0| + \|\mathbf{Y}; \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}\|_{\mathbf{X};\tilde{\mathbf{X}}} + \varrho_\gamma(\mathbf{X}, \tilde{\mathbf{X}})).$$

Proof. We begin by decomposing

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_{st} - \tilde{\Xi}_{st} &= \sum_{n=1}^p Y_s^{(n)} X_{st}^{(n)} - \sum_{n=1}^p \tilde{Y}_s^{(n)} \tilde{X}_{st}^{(n)} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^p Y_s^{(n)} (X_{st}^{(n)} - \tilde{X}_{st}^{(n)}) + \sum_{n=1}^p (Y_s^{(n)} - \tilde{Y}_s^{(n)}) \tilde{X}_{st}^{(n)}
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Xi_{st} - \tilde{\Xi}_{st}| &\le \sum_{n=1}^p \|Y^{(n)}\|_\infty \|X^{(n)} - \tilde{X}^{(n)}\|_{n\gamma} |t-s|^{n\gamma} \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=1}^p \|Y^{(n)} - \tilde{Y}^{(n)}\|_\infty \|\tilde{X}^{(n)}\|_{n\gamma} |t-s|^{n\gamma} \\
&\le |t-s|^\gamma \max_{n=1,\dots,p} \|Y^{(n)}\|_\infty \varrho_\gamma(\mathbf{X}, \tilde{\mathbf{X}}) \\
&\quad + |t-s|^\gamma \varrho_\gamma(0, \tilde{\mathbf{X}}) \max_{n=1,\dots,p} \|Y^{(n)} - \tilde{Y}^{(n)}\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (9) we can find a constant $\tilde{C}_M$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Xi - \tilde{\Xi}\|_\gamma \le \tilde{C}_M(&\|\mathbf{Y};\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}\|_{\mathbf{X},\tilde{\mathbf{X}}} + |\mathbf{Y}_0 - \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_0| \\ &+ \varrho_\gamma(\mathbf{X},\tilde{\mathbf{X}})).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\Xi_{sut} - \delta\tilde{\Xi}_{sut} &= -\sum_{n=1}^{p} Y_{su}^{(n)\sharp} X_{ut}^{(n)} + \sum_{n=1}^{p} \tilde{Y}_{su}^{(n)\sharp} \tilde{X}_{ut}^{(n)} \\ &= -\sum_{n=1}^{p} Y_{su}^{(n)\sharp}(X_{ut}^{(n)} - \tilde{X}_{ut}^{(n)}) + \sum_{n=1}^{p}(Y_{su}^{(n)\sharp} - \tilde{Y}_{su}^{(n)\sharp})\tilde{X}_{ut}^{(n)}\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\delta(\Xi - \tilde{\Xi})\|_{(p+1)\gamma} &\le \sum_{n=1}^{p} \|Y^{(n)\sharp}\|_{(p+1-n)\gamma} \|X^{(n)} - \tilde{X}^{(n)}\|_{n\gamma} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{p} \|Y^{(n)\sharp} - \tilde{Y}^{(n)\sharp}\|_{(p+1-n)\gamma} \|\tilde{X}^{(n)}\|_{n\gamma} \\ &\le M(\varrho_\gamma(\mathbf{X},\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) + \|\mathbf{Y};\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}\|_{\mathbf{X},\tilde{\mathbf{X}}}).\end{aligned}$$

□

2.2 Controlling solutions of ODE's

In this section we will show how to control solutions to ODE's perturbed by a rough path $\mathbf{X} \in \mathscr{C}^\gamma$. Fix a function $b \in C_b^1([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)$ and denote by $\phi_\cdot(x)$ the solution of the perturbed ODE

$$\phi_t(x) = x + \int_0^t b(r,\phi_r(x))dr + X_t. \tag{12}$$

When there is no chance of confusion we shall denote the solution to (12) by ϕ_t for notational convenience. Notice that we shall later on be interested in ϕ_t as a function of x, but for this section we leave it fixed.

We have

$$\phi_{st} = \int_s^t b(r,\phi_r)dr + X_{st} =: R_{st}^\phi + X_{st}$$

where $|R_{st}^\phi| \lesssim |t-s|$ by the boundedness of b. Let $f \in C_b^p(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)$, so that we can view $D^k f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathcal{L}((\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes(k+1)})$. We shall lift the composition $f(\phi)$ to a controlled path in $\mathscr{D}_{\mathbf{X}}^{p\gamma}$.

Lemma 2.5. *Assume* $\mathbf{X}$ *is a geometric rough path. Then the mapping* $s \mapsto (f(\phi_s),\dots,D^{p-1}f(\phi_s))$ *belongs to* $\mathscr{D}_{\mathbf{X}}^{p\gamma}$*, i.e. if we introduce the ad-hoc notation*

$$f(\phi)_{st}^{(k)\sharp} := D^k f(\phi_t) - \sum_{n=k}^{p-1} D^n f(\phi_s) X_{st}^{(n-k)} \qquad k = 0,1,\dots,p-1$$

we have $f(\phi)^{(k)\sharp} \in C_2^{(p-k)}([0,T];\mathcal{L}((\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes(k+1)}))$.

Proof. Begin by writing

$$\phi_{st}^{\otimes n} = (R_{st}^{\phi} + X_{st})^{\otimes n} = \sum_{q=0}^{n} \binom{n}{q} \mathrm{sym}((R_{st}^{\phi})^{\otimes(n-q)} \otimes X_{st}^{\otimes q})$$

For a sufficiently smooth function $g : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathcal{L}(V)$ where V is a finite-dimensional Banach space, we have from Taylor's formula

$$\begin{aligned} g(\phi_t) - g(\phi_s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{D^n g(\phi_s)}{n!}(\phi_{st})^{\otimes n} + R_m^g(\phi_s, \phi_t) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{m} D^n g(\phi_s) X_{st}^{(n)} + R_m^g(\phi_s, \phi_t) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{m}\sum_{q=1}^{n} \binom{n}{q} \frac{D^n g(\phi_s)}{n!}((R_{st}^{\phi})^{\otimes(n-q)} \otimes X_{st}^{\otimes q}). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

In the above we have used that $\mathbf{X}$ satisfies (7) so that $D^n g(\phi_s)\frac{X_{st}^{\otimes n}}{n!} = D^n g(\phi_s) X_{st}^{(n)}$ since $D^n g$ only acts on symmetric tensors. Furthermore, the second term $\lesssim |\phi_{st}|^{m+1} \lesssim |t-s|^{(m+1)\gamma}$, and the third term $\lesssim |t-s|$. With $g = D^k f$ and $m = p-k-1$ it follows that $f(\phi)^{(k)\sharp} \in C_2^{(p-k)\gamma}([0,T]; \mathcal{L}((\mathbb{R})^d)^{\otimes(k+1)})$, thus proving the lemma.

□

Corollary 2.6. *For $f \in C_b^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ we may define $\int f(\phi_r) d\mathbf{X}_r$ as the rough path integral of $f(\phi)$ w.r.t. $\mathbf{X}$ as in (11).*

2.3 Stability w.r.t. the driving path

The purpose of this section is to prove local Lipschitz continuity of the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{C}^\gamma &\to \mathscr{C}^\gamma \ltimes \mathscr{D}^{p\gamma} \\ \mathbf{X} &\mapsto (\mathbf{X}, f(\phi)) \end{aligned}$$

where ϕ is the solution to (12), $f \in C_b^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $f(\phi)$ denotes the lift as described in the previous section. We begin with some trivial bounds, namely let $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} \in \mathscr{C}^\gamma$ and denote by $\tilde{\phi}$ the solution to (12) when we replace $\mathbf{X}$ by $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}_{st} &= \int_s^t b(r, \tilde{\phi}_r) dr + \tilde{X}_{st} \\ &=: R_{st}^{\tilde{\phi}} + \tilde{X}_{st}. \end{aligned}$$

One can check that (see [3], Lemma A.7)

$$\|\phi - \tilde{\phi}\|_\gamma \le C(T, b')\|X - \tilde{X}\|_\gamma. \tag{14}$$

Clearly this implies $\|\phi - \tilde{\phi}\|_\gamma \lesssim \varrho_\gamma(\mathbf{X}, \tilde{\mathbf{X}})$ and also $\|R^\phi - R^{\tilde{\phi}}\|_\gamma \lesssim \varrho_\gamma(\mathbf{X}, \tilde{\mathbf{X}})$.

It follows that $\|\phi^{\otimes n} - \tilde{\phi}^{\otimes n}\|_{n\gamma} \lesssim \varrho_\gamma(\mathbf{X}, \tilde{\mathbf{X}})$ by induction: assume this holds for $n-1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_{st}^{\otimes n} - \tilde{\phi}_{st}^{\otimes n}| &\le |\phi_{st}^{\otimes(n-1)}||\phi_{st} - \tilde{\phi}_{st}| + |\phi_{st}^{\otimes(n-1)} - \tilde{\phi}_{st}^{\otimes(n-1)}||\tilde{\phi}_{st}| \\ &\le 2|t-s|^{n\gamma} \varrho_\gamma(\mathbf{X}, \tilde{\mathbf{X}}) \end{aligned}$$

by the induction hypothesis combined with (14).

The main result of this section is the following.

Lemma 2.7. *Assume $\varrho_\gamma(\mathbf{X},0),\varrho_\gamma(\tilde{\mathbf{X}},0)\le M$ and $f\in C_b^p(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then there exists a constant C_M such that*

$$\|f(\phi);f(\tilde{\phi})\|_{\mathbf{X},\tilde{\mathbf{X}}}\le C_M\varrho_\gamma(\mathbf{X},\tilde{\mathbf{X}}).$$

Proof. We shall use the formula (13) to show that $\|f(\phi)^{(k)\sharp}-f(\tilde{\phi})^{(k)\sharp}\|_{(p-k)\gamma}\le C_M\varrho_\gamma(\mathbf{X},\tilde{\mathbf{X}})$, which will prove the claim. To this end for a function g smooth enough, we have that the remainder term of the Taylor expansion satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}R_m^g(\phi_s,\phi_t)-R_m^g(\tilde{\phi}_s,\tilde{\phi}_t)&=\int_0^1\frac{(1-r)^{m+1}}{m!}D^{m+1}g(\phi_s+r\phi_{st})dr\left(\phi_{st}^{\otimes(m+1)}-\tilde{\phi}_{st}^{\otimes(m+1)}\right)\\&+\int_0^1\frac{(1-r)^{m+1}}{m!}\left(D^{m+1}g(\phi_s+r\phi_{st})-D^{m+1}g(\tilde{\phi}_s+r\tilde{\phi}_{st})\right)dr\left(\tilde{\phi}_{st}^{\otimes(m+1)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

For the first term above we have $\lesssim|t-s|^{(m+1)\gamma}\|D^{m+1}g\|_\infty\varrho_\gamma(\mathbf{X},\tilde{\mathbf{X}})$. For the second term we use, uniformly in $r\in[0,1]$

$$\begin{aligned}|D^{m+1}g(\phi_s+r\phi_{st})-D^{m+1}g(\tilde{\phi}_s+r\tilde{\phi}_{st})|&\le\|D^{m+2}g\|_\infty(|\phi_s-\tilde{\phi}_s|+r|\phi_{st}-\tilde{\phi}_{st}|)\\&\lesssim\|D^{m+2}g\|_\infty\varrho_\gamma(\mathbf{X},\tilde{\mathbf{X}}).\end{aligned}$$

Together with the bound $|\tilde{\phi}_{st}^{\otimes(m+1)}|\lesssim|t-s|^{(m+1)\gamma}$ we see that

$$\|R_m^g(\phi_\cdot,\phi_\cdot)-R_m^g(\tilde{\phi}_\cdot,\tilde{\phi}_\cdot)\|_{(m+1)\gamma}\lesssim\varrho_\gamma(\mathbf{X},\tilde{\mathbf{X}}).$$

Fix integers $q\ge1$ and $n\ge0$. Using the estimate $|a\otimes b-a'\otimes b'|\le|a-a'||b|+|a'||b-b'|$ repeatedly, it is easy to check that

$$|Dg(\phi_s)(X_{st}^{\otimes n}\otimes(R_{st}^{\phi})^{\otimes q})-Dg(\tilde{\phi}_s)(\tilde{X}_{st}^{\otimes n}\otimes(R_{st}^{\tilde{\phi}})^{\otimes q}|\lesssim|t-s|\varrho_\gamma(\mathbf{X},\tilde{\mathbf{X}}).$$

These facts combined with (13) gives

$$\|f(\phi)^{(k)\sharp}-f(\tilde{\phi})^{(k)\sharp}\|_{(p-k)\gamma}\lesssim\varrho_\gamma(\mathbf{X},\tilde{\mathbf{X}})$$

which ends the proof of the lemma. □

Combining the above Lemma, Lemma 2.4 and Remark 2.3 we get

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\mathbf{X}\in\mathscr{C}_g^\gamma$. Then there exists a family of smooth paths X^ϵ such that*

$$\int_0^\cdot f(\phi_r^\epsilon)\dot{X}_r^\epsilon dr\to\int_0^\cdot f(\phi_r)d\mathbf{X}_r\qquad\text{in } C^\gamma([0,T]),$$

as $\epsilon\to0$.

2.4 Stability w.r.t. the drift

Let us fix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathscr{C}^\gamma$ and we consider the ODE (12). Assume we have a sequence of functions b_ϵ such that there exists a solution of for every $\epsilon > 0$ to

$$\phi_t^\epsilon = x + \int_0^t b_\epsilon(r, \phi_r^\epsilon)dr + X_t.$$

We will show stability in the sense of controlled rough paths when we *assume* that ϕ^ϵ converges in an appropriate topology. This convergence will be shown to hold in Proposition 4.2 for our particular case.

Lemma 2.9. *Assume ϕ^ϵ converges in C^γ to the solution of (12). Then for any $f \in C_b^p(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ we have that the lift of $f(\phi^\epsilon)$ converges in $\mathscr{D}_{\mathbf{X}}^{p\gamma}$ to $f(\phi)$, and as $\epsilon \to 0$*

$$\int_0^\cdot f(\phi_r^\epsilon)d\mathbf{X}_r \to \int_0^\cdot f(\phi_r)d\mathbf{X}_r$$

where the above convergence is in C^γ.

Proof. Note that the second claim follows from the first in connection with Remark 2.1.

To see the first claim, one has to show

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \|f(\phi)^{(k)\sharp} - f(\phi^\epsilon)^{(k)\sharp}\|_{(p-k)\gamma} = 0$$

for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$. The proof follows the same lines as the proof of Lemma 2.7 with minor modifications, noting that $\mathbf{X} = \tilde{\mathbf{X}}$.

□

2.5 An Itô-Stratonovich formula

For the sake of being self-contained, we include a change-of-variable formula for our particular case. Let $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and assume $\phi_\cdot$ solves (12). If X is a smooth path usual calculus yields,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\eta(\phi_t) = \langle D\eta(\phi_t), b(t, \phi_t)\rangle + \langle D\eta(\phi_t), \dot{X}_t\rangle.$$

We can generalize this to geometric rough paths.

Lemma 2.10. *Suppose $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathbf{X}$ is a rough path above X. Then we have*

$$\eta(\phi_t) = \eta(x) + \int_0^t \langle D\eta(\phi_r), b(r, \phi_r)\rangle dr + \int_0^t D\eta(\phi_r)d\mathbf{X}_r.$$

where the last term is the rough path integral.

Proof. Let $0 \le u \le v \le t$ and use Taylor's formula to write, as in (13)

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(\phi)_{uv} &= \sum_{n=1}^p \frac{D^n\eta(\phi_u)}{n!}(\phi_{uv})^{\otimes n} + R_p^\eta(\phi_u, \phi_v) \\ &= D\eta(\phi_u)R_{uv}^\phi + \sum_{n=1}^p D^n\eta(\phi_u)X_{uv}^{(n)} + \Xi_{uv}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Xi_{uv} := R_p^{\eta}(\phi_u, \phi_v) + \sum_{n=2}^{p}\sum_{q=1}^{n-1}\binom{n}{q}\frac{D^n\eta(\phi_u)}{n!}((R_{uv}^{\phi})^{\otimes(n-q)} \otimes X_{uv}^{\otimes q})$$

and notice that $\Xi \in C_2^{1+\gamma}([0,T]) \subset ker(\mathcal{I})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{|\mathcal{P}|\to 0}\sum_{[u,v]\in\mathcal{P}}\langle D\eta(\phi_u), \int_u^v b(r,\phi_r)dr\rangle &= \lim_{|\mathcal{P}|\to 0}\int_0^t \langle \sum_{[u,v]\in\mathcal{P}} D\eta(\phi_u)1_{[u,v]}(r), b(r,\phi_r)\rangle dr \\ &= \int_0^t \langle D\eta(\phi_r), b(r,\phi_r)\rangle dr\end{aligned}$$

where we used continuity of $D\eta$ and dominated convergence in the last step to take in the limit. Note that the above reasoning does not use any regularity requirements on b.

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(\phi_t) - \eta(x) = \mathcal{I}(\eta(\phi_.))_{0,t} &= \lim_{|\mathcal{P}|\to 0}\sum_{[u,v]\in\mathcal{P}}\eta(\phi)_{uv} \\ &= \lim_{|\mathcal{P}|\to 0}\sum_{[u,v]\in\mathcal{P}}\left(D\eta(\phi_u)R_{uv}^{\phi} + \sum_{n=1}^{p} D^n\eta(\phi_u)X_{uv}^{(n)} + \Xi_{uv}\right) \\ &= \mathcal{I}(D\eta(\phi_.)R_{..}^{\phi}) + \mathcal{I}(\sum_{n=1}^{p} D^n\eta(\phi_.)X_{..}^{(n)}) + \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \\ &= \int_0^t \langle D\eta(\phi_r), b(r,\phi_r)\rangle dr + \int_0^t D\eta(\phi_r)d\mathbf{X}_t\end{aligned}$$

by definition of the rough path integral.

□

2.6 Integrated ODE's

To emphasize that the solution of (12) depends on the initial value x, we denote its solution by $\phi_.(x)$, i.e.

$$\phi_t(x) = x + \int_0^t b(r,\phi_r(x))dr + X_t.$$

Let ν be a finite signed measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and $f = (f^{(1)}, \dots, f^{(d)}) \in C_b^p(\mathbb{R}^d;\mathbb{R}^d)$. In later chapters we shall be interested in expressions on the form

$$\nu(f(\phi_.)) := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f^{(1)}(\phi_.(x))d\nu(x), \dots, f^{(d)}(\phi_.(x))d\nu(x)\right) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

as a controlled path in order to define $\int_0^t \nu(f(\phi_r))d\mathbf{X}_r$ in the rough path sense. Similar results as the previous chapters holds, summarized below.

Proposition 2.11. *Retain the hypotheses and notations respectively from Corollary 2.6, Corollary 2.8 and Lemma 2.9. The following holds.*

1. The rough path integral $\int_0^t \nu(f(\phi_r))d\mathbf{X}_r$ is well defined.
2. Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathscr{C}_g^\gamma$. Then there exists a family of smooth paths X^ϵ such that
$$\int_0^\cdot \nu(f(\phi_r^\epsilon))\dot{X}_r^\epsilon dr \to \int_0^\cdot \nu(f(\phi_r))d\mathbf{X}_r \qquad \text{in } C^\gamma([0,T]),$$
as $\epsilon \to 0$.
3. If $\nu(f(\phi_\cdot^\epsilon)) \to \nu(f(\phi_\cdot))$ in C^γ we have
$$\int_0^\cdot \nu(f(\phi_r^\epsilon))d\mathbf{X}_r \to \int_0^\cdot \nu(f(\phi_r))d\mathbf{X}_r \qquad \text{in } C^\gamma([0,T]),$$
as $\epsilon \to 0$.

Proof. Begin with the first assertion. Integrating (13) w.r.t. ν gives
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\phi(x))_{st}^{(k)\sharp} d\nu(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{p-k-1}\sum_{q=1}^{n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{Df^{(k+n)}(\phi_s(x))}{q!}(R_{st}^{\phi(x)})^{\otimes q} \otimes X_{st}^{\otimes(n-q)} d\nu(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} R_{p-k-1}^{D^k f}(\phi_s(x),\phi_t(x))d\nu(x).$$
Since ν is finite and b is bounded we get for each k, n and q
$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{D^{k+n}f(\phi_s(x))}{q!}(R_{st}^{\phi(x)})^{\otimes q} d\nu(x)\right| \lesssim |t-s|.$$
Furthermore
$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} R_{p-k-1}^{D^k f}(\phi_s(x),\phi_t(x))d\nu(x)\right| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\phi_{st}(x)|^{p-k} d\nu(x) \lesssim |t-s|^{(p-k)\gamma},$$
so that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\phi_\cdot(x))d\nu(x)$ is a controlled path and
$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\phi(x))d\nu(x)\right)_{st}^{(k)\sharp} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\phi(x))_{st}^{(k)\sharp} d\nu(x).$$

Using linearity, boundedness of b and dominated convergence the reader is invited to complete the remaining proofs.

□

3 Fractional Brownian motion and Girsanov's theorem

Let $B = \{B_t, t \in [0,T]\}$ be a 1-dimensional *fractional Brownian motion* (fBm) with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, i.e. a centered Gaussian process with covariance
$$R_H(t,s) := E[B_t B_s] = \frac{1}{2}\left(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}\right).$$
Observe that B has stationary increments and Hölder continuous trajectories of index $H - \varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the set of step functions on $[0,T]$ and denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the Hilbert space defined as the closure of $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to the inner product

$$\langle 1_{[0,t]}, 1_{[0,s]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = R_H(t,s).$$

The mapping $1_{[0,t]} \mapsto B_t$ can be extended to an isometry between $\mathcal{H}$ and a Gaussian subspace of $L^2(\Omega)$.

For a function $f \in L^2([a,b])$, we define the *left fractional Riemann-Liouville integral* by

$$I^{\alpha}_{0+} f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-y)^{\alpha-1} f(y) dy$$

for $\alpha > 0$. Denote by $I^{\alpha}_{0+}(L^2([a,b]))$ the image of $L^2([a,b])$ under I^{α}_{0+} and by D^{α}_{a+} its inverse.

We define $K_H(t,s)$ as

$$K_H(t,s) = c_H \Gamma\left(H + \frac{1}{2}\right) s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} \left(D^{\frac{1}{2}-H}_{t-} u^{H-\frac{1}{2}}\right)(s),$$

and write K_H for the operator from $L^2([0,T])$ onto $I^{H+\frac{1}{2}}_{0+}(L^2)$ associated with the kernel $K_H(t,s)$. It follows that

$$R_H(t,s) = \int_0^{t \wedge s} K_H(t,u) K_H(s,u) du.$$

Moreover, if $W = \{W_t : t \in [0,T]\}$ is a standard Brownian motion B can be represented as

$$B_t = \int_0^t K_H(t,s) dW_s. \tag{15}$$

A d-dimensional fractional Brownian motion is a d-dimensional process where the components are independent 1-dimensional fractional Brownian motions.

Theorem 3.1 (Girsanov's theorem for fBm)**.** *Let $u = \{u_t, t \in [0,T]\}$ be an $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued, $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}$-adapted process with integrable trajectories and set $\widetilde{B}_t = B_t + \int_0^t u_s ds, \quad t \in [0,T]$. Assume that*

(i) $\int_0^{\cdot} u_s ds \in (I^{H+\frac{1}{2}}_{0+}(L^2([0,T]))^d$*, P-a.s.*

(ii) $E[\xi_T] = 1$ *where*

$$\xi_T := \exp\left\{ - \int_0^T \left\langle K_H^{-1}\left(\int_0^{\cdot} u_r dr\right)(s), dW_s \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left| K_H^{-1}\left(\int_0^{\cdot} u_r dr\right)(s) \right|^2 ds \right\}.$$

Then the shifted process $\widetilde{B}$ is an $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\in[0,T]}$-fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter H under the new probability $\widetilde{P}$ defined by $\frac{d\widetilde{P}}{dP} = \xi_T$.

Moreover, for every $p > 1$ we have $E[|\xi_T|^p] \leq C_p(\|b\|_{\infty})$, where $C_p(\cdot)$ is an increasing function.

For a proof we refer to [11]. In particular, the moment-estimate if found in the proof of Theorem 3, [11].

An important fact we shall need is that we can lift the fractional Brownian motion to a rough path. This was first done in [13], but we shall refer to [12] for a different construction where the authors construct the iterated integrals using a Stratonovich-Volterra-type representation.

Theorem 3.2 (Theorem 1.1. in [12]). *Let B be a fractional Brownian motion admitting the representation (15). For $1 \leq n \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{H} \rfloor$ define*

$$B^{(n)} : \Delta^{(2)}(0,T) \to (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\otimes n}$$

componentwise, i.e. for any tuple $\{i_1, \dots i_n\}$ in $\{1, \dots, d\}$, as the Stratonovich iterated integral

$$\langle B^{(n)}_{st}, e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_n} \rangle =$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{j-1} \int_{A^n_j} \prod_{l=1}^{j-1} K(s, r_l)[K(t, r_j) - K(s, r_j)] \prod_{l=j+1}^{n} K(t, r_l) \circ dW^{i_1}_{r_1} \cdots \circ dW^{i_n}_{r_n}$$

where

$$A^n_j := \{(r_1, \dots r_n) \in [0,t]^n : r_j = min(r_1, \dots, r_n),$$
$$r_1 > \cdots > r_{j-1} \text{ and } r_{j+1} < \cdots < r_n\}.$$

Then there exists a set $\Omega_{\mathbf{B}}$ with full measure such that

$$\mathbf{B}_{st} := (1, B_t - B_s, B^{(2)}_{st}, \dots B^{(\lfloor 1/H \rfloor)}_{st})$$

satisfies (4) and (7) on $\Omega_{\mathbf{B}}$. Moreover, for $\gamma < H$ we have $|B^{(n)}_{st}| \lesssim |t-s|^{\gamma n}$.

Assume now that H is such that $\frac{1}{H}$ is not an integer. We can choose $\gamma < H$ such that $\lfloor \frac{1}{\gamma} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{1}{H} \rfloor$, and from the above theorem we have, P-a.s., $\mathbf{B} \in \mathscr{C}^{\gamma}_g$.

Let us remark that for $H \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$ there exists a canonical lift of B to a rough path building the iterated integral from dyadic interpolation of B. For the method of the current paper to work we need smaller H, see Section 4. When $H \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ the dyadic interpolation fails to give a converging sequence of rough paths, see [4]. Nevertheless, the construction in [12] gives a geometric rough path so that we may approximate $\mathbf{B}$ by a sequence of lifted smooth paths, in the rough path topology.

4 Fractional Brownian motion SDE's

For this section we shall study a SDE driven by an additive fractional Brownian motion, i.e.

$$\phi_t(x) = x + \int_0^t b(r, \phi_r(x))dr + B_t. \tag{16}$$

Existence and uniqueness of a solution to this equation under low regularity on b was recently proved in [1] as demonstrated in the next Proposition. For proofs the reader is referred to [1].

Proposition 4.1 (Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.8 in [1]). *Assume $H < \frac{1}{2(3d-1)}$. Let $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 0} \subset C^{\infty}_c([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ be a sequence of functions such that*

$$\sup_{n \geq 0} \left(\|b_n\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d))} \vee \|b_n\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \right) < \infty.$$

Denote by $\phi_t^n(x)$ the solution to (16) when b is replaced by b_n. Then for fixed $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ the sequence is $\phi_t^n(x)$ is relatively compact in the strong *topology of $L(\Omega)$.*

Furthermore, if $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|b - b_n\|_{L^\infty([0,T];L^1(\mathbb{R}^d))} = 0$ for $b \in L^\infty([0,T]; L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ then $\phi_t^n(x)$ is converging for every $(t,x) \in [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ to the unique solution of (16).

The difficult part of the proof is to get compactness of the approximating solutions. The proof of this part relies on a compactness criterion from [2] based on Malliavin calculus. Without going into too much detail the criterion is satisfied if we can bound the Malliavin derivative of $\phi_t^n(x)$ by a constant depending only on $\|b_n\|_{L^\infty([0,T];L^1(\mathbb{R}^d))} \vee \|b_n\|_{L^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d)}$.

Once one has strong convergence, one can use a somewhat standard trick, see e.g. [8] or [11], to show that $\int_0^t b_n(r, \phi_r^n(x))dr \to \int_0^t b(r, \phi_r(x))dr$ which gives that the limit solves (16).

With the notation of Proposition 2.11 we shall need a result to ensure convergence of $\nu(f(\phi_\cdot^n))$ is uniform on a set of full measure.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\gamma \in (0, H)$, $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and ν be a finite signed measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then there exists a set $\Omega_{\gamma,\eta,\nu}$ of full measure such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \nu(f(\phi_\cdot^n(\omega)) = \nu(f(\phi_\cdot(\omega)))$$

in $C^\gamma([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega_{\gamma,\nu}$.

Proof. We begin by showing that $\nu(f(\phi_t^n)) \to \nu(f(\phi_t))$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ for every t. To see this, consider

$$\begin{aligned} E[|f(\nu(\phi_t^n)) - f(\nu(\phi_t))|^2] &= E[|\nu(f(\phi_t^n) - f(\phi_t))|^2] \\ &\le |\nu|(\mathbb{R}^d)\|Df\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} E[|\phi_t^n(x) - \phi_t(x)|^2] d\nu(x) \\ &\to 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$ by dominated convergence, which proves the first claim.

Next we find a set universal in t for which we have pointwise in ω convergence. Denote by $\{q_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ an enumeration of $[0,T] \cap \mathbb{Q}$. We may extract a subsequence $\{\nu(f(\phi_{q_1}^{n(k,1)}))\}_{k\ge1} \subset \{\nu(f(\phi_{q_1}^n))\}_{n\ge1}$ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \nu(f(\phi_{q_1}^{n(k,1)}(\omega))) = \nu(f(\phi_{q_1}(\omega)))$$

for $\omega \in \Omega_1$ with full measure. Furthermore, we define inductively a subsequence $\{\nu(f(\phi_{q_{j+1}}^{n(k,j+1)}))\}_{k\ge1} \subset \{\nu(f(\phi_{q_{j+1}}^{n(k,j)}))\}_{k\ge1}$ such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \nu(f(\phi_{q_{j+1}}^{n(k,j+1)}(\omega))) = \nu(f(\phi_{q_{j+1}}(\omega)))$$

for $\omega \in \Omega_{j+1}$ with full measure. Let $\Omega_0 = \cap_{j=1}^\infty \Omega_j$, so that we have

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \nu(f(\phi_q^{n(j,j)}(\omega))) = \nu(f(\phi_q(\omega)))$$

for all $\omega \in \Omega_0$ and q rational.

Now, we construct a set where $\{\nu(f(\phi^n_\cdot))\}_{n\geq 1}$ is relatively compact in $C([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be such that $\gamma < H - \epsilon$ and choose a subset $\Omega_{H-\epsilon}$ with full measure such $\phi_\cdot$ satisfies (1) and for every $\omega \in \Omega_{H-\epsilon}$ we have

$$(t \mapsto B_t(\omega)) \in C^{H-\epsilon}([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Note that $\nu(f(\phi_\cdot))$ is continuous on this set.

From (1) we see

$$\begin{aligned}|\nu(f(\phi^n_t(\omega))) - \nu(f(\phi^n_s(\omega)))| &\leq |\nu\left(f(\phi^n_t(\omega)) - f(\phi^n_s(\omega))\right)| \\ &\leq |\nu|(\mathbb{R}^d)\|Df\|_\infty \left(\|b_n\|_{L^\infty([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^d)}|t-s| + |B_{st}(\omega)|\right) \\ &\leq |\nu|(\mathbb{R}^d)\|Df\|_\infty \left(\|b_n\|_\infty|t-s| + \|B(\omega)\|_{H-\epsilon}|t-s|^{H-\epsilon}\right)\end{aligned}$$

so that the uniform boundedness of b_n implies that $\{\nu(f(\phi^n_\cdot(\omega)))\}_{n\geq 1}$ is equicontinuous. Moreover, the sequence is bounded in $C([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$ and from Arzela-Ascoli's theorem there exists a converging subsequence $\{\nu(f(\phi^{j(k,\omega)}_\cdot(\omega)))\}_{k\geq 1} \subset \{\nu(f(\phi^{n(j,j)}_\cdot(\omega)))\}_{j\geq 1}$. For $\omega \in \Omega_0 \cap \Omega_{H-\epsilon}$ - which has full measure - we see that the limit coincides with $\nu(f(\phi_\cdot(\omega)))$. Applying the above reasoning to any subsequence of $\{\nu(f(\phi^n_\cdot(\omega)))\}_{n\geq 1}$ we get a further subsequence that converges to $\nu(f(\phi_\cdot(\omega)))$ in $C([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$. Since $C([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a Banach space this implies that the *full* sequence converges. By interpolation of Hölder spaces we see that the claim is true if we let $\Omega_{\gamma,\nu} := \Omega_0 \cap \Omega_{H-\epsilon}$.

□

5 Continuity Equation

In this section we want to study the rough linear continuity equation

$$\partial_t \mu_t + \operatorname{div}(b\mu_t) + \operatorname{div}(\mu_t d\mathbf{X}_t) = 0 \tag{17}$$

with given initial condition μ_0.

Definition 5.1. *Let μ_0 be a finite signed measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$. A measure valued function $\mu : [0,T] \to \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is called a measure solution to (17) if*

$$\mu_t + \int_0^t div(b(r,\cdot)\mu_r)dr + \int_0^t div(\mu_r d\mathbf{X}_r) = \mu_0$$

holds weakly in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ meaning for every $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have $\mu_\cdot(D\eta) \in \mathscr{D}^{p\gamma}_{\mathbf{X}}$ and

$$\mu_t(\eta) = \mu_0(\eta) + \int_0^t \mu_r(b(r,\cdot)D\eta)dr + \int_0^t \mu_r(D\eta)d\mathbf{X}_r.$$

If we know that there exists a solution to

$$\phi_t(x) = x + \int_0^t b(r,\phi_r(x))dr + X_t,$$

then for any test function $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have from Lemma 2.10

$$\eta(\phi_t(x)) = \eta(x) + \int_0^t D\eta(\phi_r(x))b(r,\phi_r(x))dr + \int_0^t D\eta(\phi_r(x))d\mathbf{X}_r.$$

We integrate the equation w.r.t. μ_0 to see that $\mu_t := (\phi_t)_\sharp \mu_0$ solves (17) if we can use integration by parts for the rough path integral, namely

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^t D\eta(\phi_r(x)) d\mathbf{X}_r d\mu_0(x) = \int_0^t \mu_0(D\eta(\phi_r)) d\mathbf{X}_r.$$

Suppose now that $b \in C_b^1([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathbf{X} \in \mathscr{C}_g^\gamma$. Let $X^\epsilon \in C^1([0,T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ be such that $\mathbf{X}^\epsilon \to \mathbf{X}$ in $\mathscr{C}^\gamma$. Using Section 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \mu_0(D\eta(\phi_r)) d\mathbf{X}_r &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_0^t \mu_0(D\eta(\phi_r^\epsilon)) \dot{X}_r^\epsilon dr \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^t D\eta(\phi_r^\epsilon(x)) \dot{X}_r^\epsilon dr d\mu_0(x) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^t D\eta(\phi_r(x)) d\mathbf{X}_r d\mu_0(x)
\end{aligned}$$

We summarize the above in a lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose $b \in C_b^1([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mathbf{X} \in \mathscr{C}_g^\gamma$. Then there exists a solution to (17) and the solution is given by $\mu_t := (\phi_t)_\sharp \mu_0$.*

Given the previous sections the reader will not be surprised that we can extend this to when the drift is discontinuous provided we choose the rough path to be the lift of a fractional Brownian motion with low Hurst index.

Lemma 5.3. *Assume $H < \frac{1}{2(3d-1)}$, $b \in L^\infty([0,T]; L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and μ_0 a finite signed measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$. There exists a subset $\Omega^* \subset \Omega$ with full measure such that for every $\omega \in \Omega^*$ we have*

- *The fractional Brownian motion lifts to a geometric rough path $\mathbf{B}(\omega) \in \mathscr{C}_g^\gamma$, $\gamma < H$.*
- *There exists a solution $\mu_\cdot(\omega)$ to*

$$\mu_t(\omega) + \int_0^t div(b(r,\cdot)\mu_r(\omega))dr + \int_0^t div(\mu_r(\omega) d\mathbf{B}_r(\omega)) = \mu_0$$

Proof. Denote by $\Omega_{\mathbf{B}}$ the set of $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $B(\omega)$ lifts to a rough path, $\mathbf{B}(\omega) \in \mathscr{C}_g^\gamma$.

Let $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Consider the approximation from Section 4, i.e. we have $\Omega_{\gamma,\eta,\mu_0}$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_0(D\eta(\phi_\cdot^n(\omega))) = \mu_0(D\eta(\phi_\cdot(\omega)))$ in $C^\gamma([0,T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$. From Propositions 2.11 and 4.2 we get that

$$\int_0^\cdot \mu_0(D\eta(\phi_r^n(\omega))) d\mathbf{B}_r(\omega) \to \int_0^\cdot \mu_0(D\eta(\phi_r(\omega))) d\mathbf{B}_r(\omega)$$

on $\Omega_{\gamma,\eta,\mu_0} \cap \Omega_{\mathbf{B}}$.

For every n we have that $\mu_t^n := (\phi_t^n)_\sharp \mu_0$ satisfies

$$\mu_t^n(\eta) = \mu_0(\eta) + \int_0^t \mu_r^n(b_n(r,\cdot)D\eta)dr + \int_0^t \mu_r^n(D\eta) d\mathbf{B}_r$$

on $\Omega_{\mathbf{B}}$. Denote by Ω_{η,μ_0} the set of $\omega \in \Omega$ such that $\mu_t^n(\eta) \to \mu_t(\eta)$, so that we must have that all the above terms converges on $\Omega_{\eta,\mu_0} \cap \Omega_{\gamma,\eta,\mu_0} \cap \Omega_{\mathbf{B}}$, to

$$\mu_t(\eta) = \mu_0(\eta) + \int_0^t \mu_r(b(r,\cdot)D\eta)dr + \int_0^t \mu_r(D\eta)d\mathbf{B}_r(\omega).$$

Let now

$$\Omega^* := \Omega_{\mathbf{B}} \cap \bigcap_{k\geq 1} \Omega_{\eta^k,\mu_0} \cap \Omega_{\gamma,\eta^k,\mu_0}$$

where $\{\eta^k\}_{k\geq 1} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ equipped with the ususal test function topology. Then Ω^* is the desired set.

□

References

[1] Banos,D., Nilssen, T. , Proske, F.: Strong existence and higher order Frechet differentiability of stochastic flows of fractional Brownian motion driven SDE's with singular drift. Preprint: arXiv:1511.02717

[2] Da Prato, G., Malliavin, P., Nualart, D.: Compact families of Wiener functionals. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math. 315, 1287-1291 (1992).

[3] Catellier, R.: Rough linear transport equation with an irregular drift. Preprint: arXiv:1501.03000 (2015).

[4] Coutin, L., Qian, Z.: Stochastic analysis, rough path analysis and fractional Brownian motions. Probab. Theory Related Fields 122, 108-140 (2002).

[5] Friz,P., Hairer, M.: A course on Rough Paths. Springer 2014.

[6] Gubinelli, M.: Controlling rough paths. Journal of Functional Analysis, Volume 216, Issue 1, 1 November 2004, Pages 86-140.

[7] Gubinelli, M.: Ramification of rough paths. Journal of Differential Equations, Volume 248, Issue 4, 15 February 2010, Pages 693-721.

[8] Gyöngy, I., Pardoux, E.: On quasi-linear stochastic partial differential equations. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 94, 413-425 (1993).

[9] Lyons, T.: Differential equations driven by rough signals. Revista Matemática Iberoamericana: 215-310.

[10] Mohammed,S., Nilssen,T., Proske, F.: Sobolev differentiable stochastic flows for SDEs with singular coefficients: Applications to the transport equation. Ann. Probab. Volume 43, Number 3 (2015), 1535-1576.

[11] Nualart, D. Ouknine, Y.: Regularization of differential equations by fractional noise. Stochastic Processes and their Applications. Volume 102, Issue 1, November 2002, Pages 103-116.

[12] Nualart, D., Tindel, S.: A construction of the rough path above fractional Brownian motion using Volterra's representation. Ann. Probab. Volume 39, Number 3 (2011), 1061-1096.

[13] Unterberger, J.: A rough path over multidimensional fractional Brownian motion with arbitrary Hurst index by Fourier normal ordering. Stochastic Process. Appl. 120, 1444-1472 (2010).